IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

RAUL GONZALEZ,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:20-cv-488-JDK-JDL
GURNEY UNIT, et al.,	\$ §	
Defendants.	§	

CF89F 58CDH-B; F9DCFH5B8 F97CA A 9B85H-CB C: Hk 9 I B-H98 GH5H9GA 5; -GHF5H9 > I 8; 9

D`U]bh]ZZ F U``; cbnU`Ygž U`Zcfa Yf`]ba UhY`k]h\]b`h\Y`HY\Ugʻ8 YdUfha Ybh`cZ

7f]a]bU`>i gh]W`fH8 7>ŁdfcWYX]b[`dfc'gYzZ]`YX`h\]g`Wj]``f][\hg``Ukgi]hdi fgi Ubhhc`
(&:I "G"7"`Ÿ%,' "``H\Y`Wi gY`cZUMi]cb`kUgʻfYZYffYX`hc`I b]hYX`GHUNYg`A U[]ghfUnY`
>i X[Yž h\Y`< cbcfUV`Y`>c\b`8"`@cj Yž Zcf`Z[bX]b[g`cZ ZUMiž WbWi g]cbg`cZ`Uk ž UbX`
fYWa a YbXUn]cbg`Zcf`h\YX]gdcg[h]cb`cZh\YWgY"``

Cb' 8 YWa VYf', ž &\$&\$ >i X[Y @cjY]ggi YX' U' F Ydcfhi fB cWYh B c"' %-Ł fYWa a YbX]b['lh Uh D'U]bh]ZÑg Wj]''f][\lg WgY VY X]ga]ggYXz k]lh ci h dfYi X]Wz Zcf' D'U]bh]ZÑg ZJ]'i fY hc' Wa d'mk]lh 'Ub' cfXYf' cZ h\Y 7ci fh" 5 'Wdm cZ h\]g F Ydcfhi k Ug gYbh hc' D'U]bh]ZZ Uh \]g 'Ugh_bck b' UXXf Yggz k]lh 'Ub' UWbck 'YX[a Ybh WJfX" H\YXcWYhf YZYWig h\Uh Vch\ h\Y F Ydcfh UbX h\Y i bXYf mjb['cfXYf' k YfY fYhi fbYX hc' h\Y 7ci fh Ug Îi bXY]j YfUV YZ k]lh 'U bchUh]cb' cZ ÎX]gWUf[YXÏ' 8 cWYh B cg" % & '" Hc' XUhYz D'U]bh]ZZ \Ug bY]h\Yf' Wa d']YX k]lh 'h\Y cfXYf' X]f YMi]b['\]a 'hc' gi dd'mi Ub' i dXUhX UXXf Ygg' bcf' Wa a i b]WhX k]lh 'h\Y 7ci fh g]bW' GYdhYa VYf &\$&\$" CV'YMi]cbg hc'h\Yf Ydcfh\Uj YbchVYYb Zj'YX""

6 YWJ gY cV'YWJcbg hc' >i X[Y @cj Y]ğ F Ydcfh \ Uj Y bch VYYb 'Z] YXz D`U]bh]ZZ]g' VUffYX Zfca 'XYbcj c'fYj]Yk 'Vmih\ Y8]ghf]Wij>i X[YcZh\ cgY Z]bX]b[gz WbWi g]cbgz UbX fYWJa a YbXUhcbg UbXzYl Wdhii dcb [fci bXg cZd`U]b 'Yffcfz Zfca 'UddY`UhYfYj]Yk 'cZ

the not-objected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (holding that where no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.").

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the Report of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 17) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court;

ORDERED that the above-styled civil proceeding is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice, for Plaintiff's failure to comply an order of the Court; and

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this civil action are hereby **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 11th day of January, 2021.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE